



# Positioning DCC (at EUR)

Case for Implementation  
Network DCC

by Jeroen Rombouts

# SESSION SETUP



CASE

~5 MIN.



BREAKOUT FOR  
SOLUTIONS

~8 MIN.




FEEDBACK &  
DISCUSS

~8 MIN.

# EUR DCC (behind the scenes)

- Agreements on roles and responsibilities in place for RDM services and coordination
- Computing and RSE are mostly delivered by non-DCC parties
- All faculty data stewards work on generic services as well



# Case

## Situation

- A (part-time) dedicated data steward at every faculty  
To provide advice, support & training.  
To identify needs, opportunities & raise awareness
- An ethical review board (ERB) at every faculty  
Half of the faculty ERB's already require a DMP
- Number of DMP's expected: 120-360 per data steward  
Review and advice on DMP takes about 2 hours per DMP
- Different stakeholders ask for 'approved' DMP's
- Researchers responsible for data management practice



# Challenge

- Aims
  - Position support close to researchers (build network)
  - Develop good practices
- How would you position the EUR DCC data stewards?
  - The data stewards are there to advice and support researchers

How do we ensure the quality of adopted practices?

**Dilemma:**

Can/should we make the advisors responsible for approving (or rejecting) DMP's, protocol designs, etc.?